Information Retrieval

Practice Exercises

21.1 Compute the relevance (using appropriate definitions of term frequency and inverse document frequency) of each of the Practice Exercises in this chapter to the query “SQL relation”.

**Answer:** We do not consider the questions containing neither of the keywords as their relevance to the keywords is zero. The number of words in a question include stop words. We use the equations given in Section 21.2 to compute relevance; the log term in the equation is assumed to be to the base 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0641</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0641</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0430</td>
<td>0.0430</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
<td>0.1292</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0186</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0473</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0544</td>
<td>0.0544</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21.2 Suppose you want to find documents that contain at least k of a given set of n keywords. Suppose also you have a keyword index that gives you a (sorted) list of identifiers of documents that contain a specified keyword. Give an efficient algorithm to find the desired set of documents.

**Answer:** Let S be a set of n keywords. An algorithm to find all documents that contain at least k of these keywords is given below:
This algorithm calculates a reference count for each document identifier. A reference count of \( i \) for a document identifier \( d \) means that at least \( i \) of the keywords in \( S \) occur in the document identified by \( d \). The algorithm maintains a list of records, each having two fields – a document identifier, and the reference count for this identifier. This list is maintained sorted on the document identifier field.

initialize the list \( L \) to the empty list;
for (each keyword \( c \) in \( S \)) do
  begin
    \( D := \) the list of documents identifiers corresponding to \( c \);
    for (each document identifier \( d \) in \( D \)) do
      if (a record \( R \) with document identifier as \( d \) is on list \( L \)) then
        \( R\).reference_count := \( R\).reference_count + 1;
      else begin
        make a new record \( R \);
        \( R\).document Id := \( d \);
        \( R\).reference_count := 1;
        add \( R \) to \( L \);
      end;
  end;
for (each record \( R \) in \( L \)) do
  if (\( R\).reference_count \( >= k \)) then
    output \( R \);

Note that execution of the second for statement causes the list \( D \) to “merge” with the list \( L \). Since the lists \( L \) and \( D \) are sorted, the time taken for this merge is proportional to the sum of the lengths of the two lists. Thus the algorithm runs in time (at most) proportional to \( n \) times the sum total of the number of document identifiers corresponding to each keyword in \( S \).

21.3 Suggest how to implement the iterative technique for computing Page-Rank given that the \( T \) matrix (even in adjacency list representation) does not fit in memory.
Answer: No answer

21.4 Suggest how a document containing a word (such as “leopard”) can be indexed such that it is efficiently retrieved by queries using a more general concept (such as “carnivore” or “mammal”). You can assume that the concept hierarchy is not very deep, so each concept has only a few generalizations (a concept can, however, have a large number of specializations). You can also assume that you are provided with a function that returns the concept for each word in a document. Also suggest how a query using a specialized concept can retrieve documents using a more general concept.
Answer: Add doc to index lists for more general concepts also.
21.5 Suppose inverted lists are maintained in blocks, with each block noting the largest popularity rank and TF-IDF scores of documents in the remaining blocks in the list. Suggest how merging of inverted lists can stop early if the user wants only the top $K$ answers.

**Answer:** For all documents whose scores are not complete use upper bounds to compute best possible score. If $K$th largest completed score is greater than the largest upper bound among incomplete scores output the $K$ top answers. No answer.